

DEC 23 2005



Mark A. Koch Professional Corporation
866 Main Street East, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8M 1L9

- Tel:(905) 549-5880
- Fax:(905) 545-2800

TELEFAX TRANSMISSION

To: USPTO – Reply to Office Action
Examiner: Daniel W. Howell (3722)

Re: PIPE CHAMFER TOOL
Application No.: 10/693,861

Fax No.: (571) 273-8300 and to (571) 273-4478

From: Mark A. Koch

Date: 23 December 2005

Pages: 6 (including this cover page)

If transmission is interrupted or of poor quality, please notify sender immediately by calling (905) 549-5880 and ask for Penny.

Comments:

Please find amended reply as per the discussion with the Examiner Howell on December 22, 2005.

Regards

Mark Koch

This communication may contain information that is privileged or confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual to which it is addressed. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us by mail. Thank you.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 23 2005

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

23 December 2005

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Window, Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
401 Dulany Street
Randolph Building
Alexandria, VA
22314 USA

IN RE APPLICATION: PIPE CHAMFER TOOL
APPLICATION NO.: 10/693,861
FILING DATE: 10/28/2005
APPLICANT: OSWALD
PRIMARY EXAMINER: DANIEL W. HOWELL
OTHER EXAMINER:
ART UNIT: 3722
DOCKET NO.: 483P2

Certification of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office to fax number: (571) 273-4478 and to (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below.

PENNY FEDE
PRINT OR TYPE NAME OF PERSON
SIGNING CERTIFICATE

Penny Fede Dec 23/05
SIGNATURE: DATE

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

DATED: JULY 21, 2005

I am in receipt of and thank you for your office action dated July 21, 2005 for which a response is properly due by October 21, 2005.

The Examiner has indicated that Claim 11 contains allowable subject matter provided it is written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Kindly note that we have amended Claim 2 to include the limitations of originally submitted Claims 10 and 11. Therefore, Claim 2 now comprises of originally submitted Claims 2, 10 and 11. Therefore, in accordance with the Examiner's findings, Claim 2 now contains allowable subject matter and all claims depending there from also contain patentable subject matter.

Kindly amend the claims as per the attached pages.